arXiv:1602.09109v2 [gr-qc] 28 Sep 2016

Two-spinor tetrad and Lie derivatives of Einstein-Cartan-Dirac fields

Daniel Canarutto

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "U. Dini",
Via S. Marta 3, 50139 Firenze, Italia
email: daniel.canarutto@unifi.it
http://www.dma.unifi.it/~canarutto

September 28, 2016

Abstract

An integrated approach to Lie derivatives of spinors, spinor connections and the gravitational field is presented, in the context of a previously proposed, partly original formulation of a theory of Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields based on "minimal geometric data": all the needed underlying structure is geometrically constructed from the unique assumption of a complex vector field $S \rightarrowtail M$ with 2-dimensional fibers. The Lie derivatives of objects of all considered types, with respect to a vector field $x : M \to \mathrm{T}M$, are well-defined without making any special assumption about x, and fulfill natural mutual relations.

MSC 2010: 53B05, 58A32, 83C60.

PACS 2010: 02.40.-k, 04.20.Cv.

Keywords: Lie derivatives of spinors, Lie derivatives of spinor connections, deformed tetrad gravity.

Contents

1 Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields **2**
1.1 Two-spinor algebra and Lorentzian geometry 2
1.2 Two-spinors and Dirac spinors 3
1.3 Endomorphism decomposition in spinor and Minkowski spaces 4
1.4 Spinor bundles and connections 6
1.5 Breaking of dilaton symmetry 7
1.6 Two-spinor tetrad 7
1.7 Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields 8

2 Lie derivatives in spinor geometry and tetrad gravity **9**
2.1 Lie derivative of spinors 9
2.2 Lie derivative of the tetrad 11
2.3 Lie derivative of the spinor connection 11
2.4 Deformed tetrad gravity 13
2.5 A remark on possible extensions 14

Introduction

Lie derivatives of 4-spinors on curved spacetime have been studied by Kosmann [29] and others [14, 18, 32] by exploiting structure groups and their representations in order to extend to spinors the notion of transport of tensor fields by the local 1-parameter group associated with a vector field. A somewhat different approach by Penrose and Rindler [39] recovers the Lie derivative of a 2-spinor from the requirement that it is related to the usual Lie derivative through the Leibnitz rule. A recent article [24] examined the relations among various approaches.

One key point about this topic is the "soldering" of the spinor and spacetime geometries. Usually, the soldering is implicitely contained in the formalism; we propose to make it explicit by means of a partly original formulation of tetrad gravity, described in previous papers [2, 3], which yields an integrated treatment of Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields starting from minimal geometric data.

The tetrad formalism [10, 11, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 42, 44, 45] could be just regarded as using an orthonormal spacetime frame in order to describe gravitation. A geometric refinement can be achieved by assuming a vector bundle $H \rightarrowtail M$ whose 4-dimensional fibers are endowed with a Lorentz metric, and defining the tetrad as a "soldering form" between H and the tangent bundle of M. This extra assumption, apparently contrary to Ockam's "razor principle", can be actually turned into a free benefit since H can be derived, by a geometric construction, from a complex vector bundle $S \rightarrowtail M$ with 2-dimensional fibers. The same S naturally yields the bundle W of 4-spinors together with the Dirac map $H \to \mathrm{End}\, W$, and any other structure needed for the aforementioned integrated field theory (length units included). The tetrad itself replaces the spacetime metric g, and indeed it can be regarded as a "square root" of g, while a connection of S naturally splits into "gravitational" and "electromagnetic" contributions. The spacetime connection, on the other hand, is no more regarded as a fundamental field but rather as a "byproduct". The underlying 2-spinor formalism is compatible with the Penrose-Rindler formalism [38, 39], with a few adjustments.

After an essential account of the above said setting we address the notions of Lie derivatives of all involved fields with respect to a vector field $X : M \to \mathrm{T}M$. We find that by explicitely taking the tetrad into account we are able to give a natural definition of Lie derivative of a spinor of any type without imposing any constraint on X (such as being Killing or conformal Killing). The Lie derivative of the tetrad itself takes care of what is missing. Furthermore we obtain a natural definition of the Lie derivative of the spinor connection.

The notion of the Lie derivative of a linear connection of the tangent space of a manifold, and the related notion of deformed connection, have been known for a long time (see e.g. Yano [46], §I.4). The main use of that notion in the literature deals with energy tensors in General Relativity [28, 37], possibly in the disguised form of "deformations" of the spacetime connection [30, 19]. Exploiting that concept, the Lie derivative of the spinor connection can be introduced similarly to the Lie derivative of spinors, by a procedure that uses the tetrad and the corresponding natural decompositions of the spaces of endomorphisms of S and H. We examine the relations among the various considered operations and write down the basic coordinate formulas.

Finally we discuss the notion of a "deformed" theory of Einstein-Cartan-Dirac fields, and offer remarks about deformations of fields whose "internal degrees of freedom" are not soldered to spacetime geometry.

1 Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields using "minimal geometric data"

The first three sections that follow deal with purely algebraic constructions, whose only ingredient is a 2-dimensional complex vector space S. Afterwards we'll consider a vector bundle $S \rightarrowtail M$ over a real 4-dimensional manifold M, where our constructions can be performed fiberwise yielding various associated bundles and natural maps. Any topological constraint needed for everything to be sufficiently regular will be implicitely assumed to hold, without further discussion.

Most of the material of the next six sections constitutes a summary of previous work [2, 3, 4], with some adaptations. It won't look unfamiliar to the reader who is acquainted with the usual two-spinor formalism, though there are a few actual differences and, moreover, our privileging intrisic geometric arguments over coordinate computations yields a somewhat shifted point of view.

1.1 Two-spinor algebra and Lorentzian geometry

A complex vector space V of arbitrary finite dimension yields the associated *dual space* V^*, *conjugate space* $\overline{V}$ and *anti-dual space* $\overline{V}^*$. The latter can be regarded as the space of all antilinear maps $V \to \mathbb{C}$ (fulfilling $f(cv) = \bar{c}\, f(v)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$), and we have the natural identification $\overline{V} \cong (\overline{V}^*)^*$. Complex conjugation determines anti-isomorphisms $V \leftrightarrow \overline{V}$ and $V^* \leftrightarrow \overline{V}^*$. Using this together with transposition we also obtain an antilinear involution of $V \otimes \overline{V}$, determining a decomposition into the direct sum of the *real* eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues ± 1, namely

$$V \otimes \overline{V} = \mathrm{H}(V \otimes \overline{V}) \oplus \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{H}(V \otimes \overline{V}) \ ,$$

called the *Hermitian* and *anti-Hermitian* subspaces, respectively.

A basis $\big(\mathsf{b}_\alpha\big)$ of V yields the conjugate basis $\big(\bar{\mathsf{b}}_{\dot\alpha}\big)$ of $\overline{V}$. If $v \in V$ has components v^α then its conjugate $\bar{v} \in \overline{V}$ has components $\bar{v}^{\dot\alpha}$. Accordingly, Hermitian tensors $w \in V \otimes \overline{V}$ are characterized by their components fulfilling $\bar{w}^{\dot\alpha\alpha} = w^{\alpha\dot\alpha}$.

The above basic construction is the source of much of the rich algebraic structure which can be extracted from a 2-dimensional complex vector space S without any further assumption. The relation to a more familiar formalism for most readers is seen by using a basis $\big(\xi_A\big)$ of S. We distinguish a few steps.

• We start by observing that the antisymmetric subspace $\wedge^2 S \subset S \otimes S$ is a 1-dimensional complex vector space. The Hermitian subspace of $\wedge^2 S \otimes \wedge^2 \overline{S}$ is a real 1-dimensional vector space with a distinguished orientation; its positively oriented semispace $\mathbb{L}^2$ (whose elements are of the type $w \otimes \bar{w}$, $w \in \wedge^2 S$) has the "square root" semispace $\mathbb{L}$, which will can be identified with the space of *length units*.[1] In the ensuing field theory, $\mathbb{L}$ will be the natural target for the *dilaton*. The chosen basis of S determines a basis in each of the associated spaces and, in particular, a length unit $l \in \mathbb{L}$.

• Rational roots of unit spaces are well-defined, with negative exponents standing for duality. Accordingly we introduce the new 2-dimensional space $U \equiv \mathbb{L}^{-1/2} \otimes S$, which has the induced basis $\big(\zeta_A\big) = \big(l^{-1/2}\, \xi_A\big)$. This is our 2-*spinor space*. Now since $U^* = \mathbb{L}^{1/2} \otimes S^*$, the

[1] Essentially, $\mathbb{L}$ being the square root of $\mathbb{L}^2$ means that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{L}^2 \cong \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{L}$. A mahematically precise treatment of unit spaces and physical dimensions can be found in works by Modugno and others [27, 7].

1-dimensional complex space $\wedge^2 U$ turns out to be naturally endowed with a Hermitian metric, namely the identity element in

$$\mathbb{L}^2 \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-2} \cong \mathbb{L}^2 \otimes \mathrm{H}[(\wedge^2 \overline{S}^*) \otimes (\wedge^2 S^*)] \cong \mathrm{H}[(\wedge^2 \overline{U}^*) \otimes (\wedge^2 U^*)] \ .$$

Hence any two normalised elements in $\wedge^2 U^*$ are related by a phase factor. The chosen basis determines one such element, namely $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{AB}\, \zeta^A \wedge \zeta^B$, where $\varepsilon_{AB} = \delta^1_A\, \delta^2_B - \delta^1_B\, \delta^2_A$ are the antisymmetric Ricci constants and $\big(\zeta^A\big)$ denotes the dual basis of U^*. Each normalised $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 U^*$ yields the isomorphism $\varepsilon^\flat : U \to U^* : u \mapsto u^\flat := \varepsilon(u, _)$, with the coordinate expression $u_B \equiv (u^\flat)_B = \varepsilon_{AB}\, u^A$. The dual construction also yields $\varepsilon^\# = \varepsilon^{AB}\, \zeta_A \wedge \zeta_B$, which yields the inverse isomorphism $U^* \to U$.

• We'll be specially involved with the Hermitian subspace

$$H \equiv \mathrm{H}(U \otimes \overline{U}) \subset U \otimes \overline{U} \ ,$$

a 4-dimensional real vector space which turns out to be naturally endowed with a Lorentz metric. Actually if $\varepsilon \in \wedge^2 U^*$ is normalized then $\varepsilon \otimes \bar{\varepsilon} \in \wedge^2 U^* \otimes \wedge^2 \overline{U}^*$ is independent of the phase factor in ε, thus it is a natural object, which can be seen as a bilinear form g on $U \otimes \overline{U}$ via the rule

$$g(u \otimes \bar{v}, r \otimes \bar{s}) = \varepsilon(u, r)\, \bar{\varepsilon}(\bar{v}, \bar{s}) = \varepsilon_{AB}\, \bar{\varepsilon}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}\, u^A r^B \bar{v}^{\dot{A}} \bar{s}^{\dot{B}} \ .$$

Now if $\big(\bar{\zeta}_{\dot{A}}\big)$ denotes the induced "conjugate" basis of $\overline{U}$ then the induced basis of $U \otimes \overline{U}$ is $\big(\zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{\dot{A}}\big)$; alongside with this we also consider the basis $\big(\tau_\lambda\big)$, defined in term of the Pauli matrices as

$$\tau_\lambda \equiv \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\, \sigma_\lambda^{A\dot{A}}\, \zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{\dot{A}} \ , \qquad \lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

A straightforward computation then shows that this is an orthonormal basis of H, with squares $(+1, -1, -1, -1)$. Null elements in H are of the form $\pm u \otimes \bar{u}$ with $u \in U$ (thus there is a distinguished time-orientation in H).

1.2 Two-spinors and Dirac spinors

Our step-by-step constructions continue by considering the 4-dimensional complex vector space $W \equiv U \oplus \overline{U}^*$. This can be naturally regarded as the space of 4-spinors, as we can exhibit a natural linear map $\gamma : U \otimes \overline{U} \to \mathrm{End}(W)$ whose restriction to the Minkowski space H turns out to be a Clifford map. It is characterized by

$$\gamma(r \otimes \bar{s})(u, \chi) = \sqrt{2}\big(\langle \bar{\lambda}, \bar{s} \rangle\, p \, , \langle r^\flat, u \rangle\, \bar{s}^\flat\big) \ , \qquad u, p, r, s \in U \, , \ \chi \in \overline{U}^* \, ,$$

an expression which is independent of the phase factor in the normalized ε yielding $r^\flat \in U^*$ and $\bar{s}^\flat \in \overline{U}^*$. The usual Weyl representation can be recovered by using the basis

$$\big(\omega_\alpha\big) \equiv (\zeta_1 \, , \zeta_2 \, , -\bar{\zeta}^1, -\bar{\zeta}^2) \ ,$$

where ζ_1 is a simplified notation for $(\zeta_1 \, , 0)$, and the like: setting $\gamma_\lambda \equiv \gamma(\tau_\lambda) \in \mathrm{End}\, W$, $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3$, the matrices $\big(\gamma_\lambda{}^\alpha{}_\beta\big)$ in this basis turn out to be the Weyl matrices. By a suitable basis transformation one also recovers the Dirac representation.

Next we observe that the conjugate space of W is $\overline{W} = \overline{U} \oplus U^*$, whence by inverting the order of the two sectors we obtain the dual space $U^* \oplus \overline{U} = W^*$. Let's explicitely denote this switching map, which is obviously an isomorphism, as

$$\mathrm{s} : \overline{W} \to W^* : (\bar{u}, \lambda) \mapsto (\lambda, \bar{u}) \ .$$

If $\psi \equiv (u, \bar{\lambda}) \in W$ then applying the conjugation anti-isomorphism to it we get

$$\bar{\psi} = (\bar{u}, \lambda) \in \overline{W} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{s}(\bar{\psi}) \in W^* \ .$$

This $\mathrm{s}(\bar{\psi})$ is exactly the object which is traditionally denoted as $\bar{\psi}$. When no confusion arises, we may as well adopt that notation as a shorthand. Note that the mapping $\psi \mapsto \bar{\psi}$, called *Dirac adjunction*, can be regarded as associated with a Hermitian scalar product on W, which turns out to have signature $(++--)$, as one sees immediately in the Dirac representation.

We end this section with a few remarks about aspects of our presentation which are different from the usual 2-spinor and 4-spinor formalisms.

• No complex symplectic form is fixed. The 2-form ε is unique up to a phase factor which depends on the chosen 2-spinor basis, and yields the isomorphisms $\varepsilon^\flat$ and $\varepsilon^\#$.

• No Hermitian scalar product on S or U is assigned; the choice of a positive Hermitian scalar product on U essentially amounts to the choice of an "observer" in the Minkowski space H.

• Consequently there is no fixed complex symplectic form nor positive Hermitian structure on the 4-spinor space W as well. The usual mapping $\psi \mapsto \psi^\dagger$ is related to a positive Hermitian structure associated with an observer (while Dirac adjunction is observer-independent). Charge conjugation is related to the choice of ε (namely of a phase factor).

More generally, we may observe that matrix-based formalisms tend to screen the precise role of the various involved objects by apparently putting different operations on the same footing.

1.3 Endomorphism decomposition in spinor and Minkowski spaces

In the vector space $\mathrm{End}\, H \equiv H \otimes H^*$ of all linear endomorphisms of H we have Lorentz metric transposition $\mathrm{End}\, H \to \mathrm{End}\, H : K \mapsto K^\dagger$, where $(K^\dagger)^\lambda_{\ \mu} = g^{\lambda\nu}\, K^\rho_{\ \nu}\, g_{\rho\mu}$. The subspace of all endomorphisms which are antisymmetric with respect to this operation is the Lie subalgebra[2] $\mathfrak{so}(H, g)$. We obtain a natural decomposition

$$\mathrm{End}\, H = \mathfrak{so}(H, g) \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H \oplus \mathcal{S}_0 H \ ,$$

where $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H$ is the subspace generated by the identity of H and $\mathcal{S}_0 H$ is the space of all trace-free symmetric endomorphisms. Indeed, any $K \in \mathrm{End}\, H$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$K = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(K - K^\dagger\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}K\ \mathbb{1}_H + \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\left(K + K^\dagger\right) - \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}K\ \mathbb{1}_H\right) \ .$$

In particular we have a projection

$$\mathrm{p} : \mathrm{End}\, H \to \mathfrak{so}(H, g) \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H : K \mapsto \tfrac{1}{2}\left(K - K^\dagger\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{Tr}K\ \mathbb{1}_H \ ,$$

whose target space is a Lie-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}\, H$ (while its complementary space $\mathcal{S}_0 H$ is not closed with respect to the commutator).

Similarly, the vector space $\mathrm{End}\, U \equiv U \otimes U^*$ of all $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphisms of U has the natural decomposition

$$\mathrm{End}\, U = \mathfrak{sl}(U) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}_U = \mathfrak{sl}(U) \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_U \oplus \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_U$$

(where $\mathfrak{sl}(U)$ is the Lie subalgebra of all trace-free endomorphisms), as any $k \in \mathrm{End}\, U$ can be uniquely decomposed as $k = (k - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\, k\ \mathbb{1}_U) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\, k\ \mathbb{1}_U$, and the trace can be further decomposed into its real and imaginary parts. Now recalling $H \subset U \otimes \overline{U}$ we introduce $\mathbb{R}$-linear

[2] $\mathrm{End}\, H$ together with the ordinary commutator is a Lie algebra.

maps $\pi : \operatorname{End} H \to \operatorname{End} U$ and $\imath : \operatorname{End} U \to \operatorname{End} H$ as follows. The former is defined via traces and can be best expressed in component form as

$$(\pi K)^{A}{}_{B} = \tfrac{1}{2}\, K^{AA'}{}_{BA'} - \tfrac{1}{8}\, K^{CC'}{}_{CC'}\, \delta^{A}{}_{B} \ .$$

The latter is defined as $\imath k \equiv k \otimes \bar{\mathbb{1}} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \bar{k}$, and is expressed in component form as

$$(\imath k)^{AA'}{}_{BB'} = k^{A}{}_{B}\, \delta^{A'}{}_{B'} + \delta^{A}{}_{B}\, \bar{k}^{A'}{}_{B'} \ .$$

The following statements are then easily checked:

- $\pi\, \mathbb{1}_H = \frac{1}{2}\, \mathbb{1}_U$, $\imath \mathbb{1}_U = 2\, \mathbb{1}_H$.
- π and p have the same kernel: the symmetric traceless sector of $\operatorname{End} H$.
- The kernel of $\imath$ is the imaginary part of the identity sector.
- Both p and $\imath$ are valued onto $\mathfrak{so}(H, g) \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H$.
- The restriction of π to $\mathfrak{so}(H, g) \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H$ and the restriction of $\imath$ to $\mathfrak{sl}(U) \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_U$ are inverse Lie-algebra isomorphisms.

Remark. The definition of $\imath$ is crafted in such a way that the action of $\imath k$ on isotropic elements $u \otimes \bar{u} \in H$ is determined by the Leibnitz rule $\imath k(u \otimes \bar{u}) = ku \otimes \bar{u} + u \otimes \bar{k}\bar{u}$. This is perhaps the most relevant aspect of this matter in relation to Lie derivatives of spinors. Also note that this expression is closely related to the decomposition $\Phi_{AA'BB'} = \phi_{AB}\, \bar{\varepsilon}_{A'B'} + \varepsilon_{AB}\, \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}$, valid for a Minkowski space 2-tensor Φ whose symmetric part is proportional to the Lorentz metric.

We now introduce a further map, the $\mathbb{R}$-linear inclusion[3]

$$\varkappa : \operatorname{End} U \to \operatorname{End} W : k \mapsto \varkappa(k) \equiv (k, -\bar{k}^*) \ ,$$

where $\bar{k}^* : \overline{U}^* \to \overline{U}^*$ is the conjugate transpose of k .

The composition $\pi \circ \varkappa : \operatorname{End} H \to \operatorname{End} W$ can be then expressed in terms of the components of the Dirac map as

$$\varkappa(\pi K) = \tfrac{1}{8} K_{\lambda\mu}\, (\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu} - \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}) + \tfrac{1}{8} K^{\nu}{}_{\nu}\, \gamma_5 \ ,$$

where $\mathrm{i}\,\gamma_5 \equiv \gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$ is the element in the Dirac algebra corresponding to the natural volume form of H.

A diagram of the mutual relations among the introduced maps may be useful:



[3] A detailed examination in two-spinor terms of Lie groups and Lie algebras involved in spinor and Minkowsky space geometries can be found in a previous work [8].

1.4 Spinor bundles and connections

We consider a vector bundle $S \rightarrowtail M$ with complex 2-dimensional fibers over the real manifold M. For the moment we make no special assumption about M, including dimension, nor we assume any special relation between S and the tangent space $\mathrm{T}M$: that relation is mediated by the tetrad, which will be introduced as a subsequent step in §1.6.

Doing the constructions of §1.1–1.3 in each fiber we obtain bundles $\mathbb{L}$, U, H and W over M, with smooth natural structures; a local frame (ξ_A) of S yields the associated frames of the other bundles. Moreover we'll use local coordinates (x^a) on M. Note that the fibers of H, in particular, are endowed with a Lorentz metric.

A $\mathbb{C}$-linear connection $\mathrm{\Gamma}$ of $S \to M$, called a 2-*spinor connection*, is expressed by coefficients $\Gamma_a{}^A{}_B : M \to \mathbb{C}$. Their complex conjugates are the coefficents $\bar\Gamma_a{}^{A'}{}_{B'}$ of the induced *conjugate connection* $\bar{\mathrm{\Gamma}}$ of $\overline{S} \to M$, characterized by the rule $\nabla \bar s = \overline{\nabla s}$. The components of the induced *dual connection* $\overset{*}{\mathrm{\Gamma}}$ of $S^* \rightarrowtail M$ are $\overset{*}{\Gamma}_{aB}{}^A = -\Gamma_a{}^A{}_B$. A similar relation holds between $\bar{\mathrm{\Gamma}}$ and the *anti-dual connection* $\overset{*}{\bar{\mathrm{\Gamma}}}$ of $\overline{S}^* \rightarrowtail M$. In brief we write $\overset{*}{\Gamma}_a = -\Gamma_a^*$, where Γ_a is a shorthand for the matrix of the coefficients and Γ_a^* is the transposed matrix; similarly, we write $\overset{*}{\bar\Gamma}_a = -\bar\Gamma_a^*$.

A 2-spinor connection yields linear connections of all bundles associated with S. If we fix a reference connection B (a 'gauge') then $\mathrm{\Gamma} - \mathrm{B}$ is a tensor field valued in $\mathrm{T}^*M \otimes \operatorname{End} S$; hence, with proper care, we can describe the relations among the various connections in terms of bundle endomorphisms using the notions exposed in §1.3, with obvious extensions of the needed operations. In particular:

- the induced connection of $\wedge^2 S$ is denoted as $\hat{\mathrm{\Gamma}} \equiv \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{\Gamma}$, with coefficients $\hat\Gamma_a = \Gamma_a{}^A{}_A$;
- the induced connection of $\mathbb{L}$ has the coefficients $G_a \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_a{}^A{}_A + \bar\Gamma_a{}^{A'}{}_{A'})$, namely $\nabla_a l = -G_a\, l$, and can be regarded as the "real part" of $\hat{\mathrm{\Gamma}}$;
- the induced connection of $S \otimes \overline{S}$ is denoted as $\imath\mathrm{\Gamma}$, with coefficients

$$(\imath\mathrm{\Gamma})_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BB'} = \Gamma_a{}^A{}_B\, \delta^{A'}{}_{B'} + \delta^A{}_B\, \bar\Gamma_a{}^{A'}{}_{B'} ;$$

- the induced connection of U is denoted as $\tilde{\mathrm{\Gamma}}$, with coefficients $\tilde\Gamma_a{}^A{}_B = \Gamma_a{}^A{}_B - \frac{1}{2}\, G_a\, \delta^A{}_B$;
- the induced connection of H is denoted as $\tilde\Gamma \equiv \imath\tilde{\mathrm{\Gamma}}$, with coefficients

$$\tilde\Gamma_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BB'} = \tilde\Gamma_a{}^A{}_B\, \delta^{A'}{}_{B'} + \delta^A{}_B\, \bar{\tilde\Gamma}_a{}^{A'}{}_{B'} = (\imath\mathrm{\Gamma})_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BB'} - G_a\, \delta^A{}_B\, \delta^{A'}{}_{B'} .$$

Above, induced connections are expressed in the frames induced by $\big(\xi_A\big)$. Conversely

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\Gamma_a{}^A{}_B &= \pi(\imath\mathrm{\Gamma})_a{}^A{}_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\,(\imath\mathrm{\Gamma})_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'} - \tfrac{1}{8}\,(\imath\mathrm{\Gamma})_a{}^{CC'}{}_{CC'}\,\delta^A{}_B = \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\tilde\Gamma_a{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'} = \pi(\imath\tilde{\mathrm{\Gamma}})_a{}^A{}_B\ .\end{aligned}$$

With regard to the latter expression, in particular, we note that $\tilde\Gamma_a{}^{AA'}{}_{AA'} = 0$. Furthermore $\tilde\Gamma$ turns out to be a *metric connection*, preserving the Lorentz fiber structure of H; the notion of torsion, on the other hand, needs a soldering form, and will be introduced later (§1.6).

It's not difficult to check that similar relations hold among the curvature tensor R of $\mathrm{\Gamma}$ and the curvature tensors of the induced connections. In particular

$$\begin{aligned}R_{ab}{}^{AA'}{}_{BB'} &\equiv (\imath R)_{ab}{}^{AA'}{}_{BB'} = R_{ab}{}^A{}_B\, \delta^{A'}{}_{B'} + \delta^A{}_B\, \bar R_{ab}{}^{A'}{}_{B'} ,\\ \tilde R_{ab}{}^A{}_B &= R_{ab}{}^A{}_B + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\partial_{[a}G_{b]}\,\delta^A{}_B = \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,R_{ab}{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'} - \tfrac{1}{8}\,R_{ab}{}^{CC'}{}_{CC'}\,\delta^A{}_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\tilde R_{ab}{}^{AA'}{}_{BA'} .\end{aligned}$$

We also consider the induced connection $\varkappa(\tilde{\mathrm{F}})$ on the 4-spinor bundle $W \equiv U \oplus \overline{U}^*$. This can be then expressed in terms of the components of the Dirac map as

$$\varkappa(\tilde{\mathrm{F}}) = \tfrac{1}{8}\tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\mu}^{\ \ \lambda}\,(\gamma_\lambda\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu\gamma_\lambda)\ ,$$

since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\lambda}^{\ \ \lambda} = 0$, where the components of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are now expressed in the Pauli frame $\big(\tau_\lambda\big)$.

Last but not least we consider the induced connection Y of $\wedge^2 U$, whose fibers (§1.1) have a natural Hermitian structure. Indeed Y preserves that structure, and its coefficients can be written as $\mathrm{i}Y_a$ where $Y_a = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{F}_a^{\ A}{}_A - \bar{\mathrm{F}}_a^{\ \dot{A}}{}_{\dot{A}})$ is the imaginary part of $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_a$; namely $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_a = G_a + \mathrm{i}Y_a$. In particular, we get $\nabla_a\varepsilon = \mathrm{i}Y_a\,\varepsilon$.

1.5 Breaking of dilaton symmetry

In a general theory of fields that are sections of the various bundles derived from S one has to allow a "dilaton" field $M \to \mathbb{L}$. In the literature this issue has been considered under various angles [1, 12, 13, 16, 23, 31, 35, 26, 36, 40, 41, 43], though a conclusive approach seems to be still lacking. One intriguing possibility is that the dilaton be closely related to the Higgs field. My own ideas about such speculations have been expressed in two papers [6, 9].

In the sequel, however, we'll work for simplicity in a "conservative" setup in which the dilaton symmetry is broken by some mechanism we do not worry about here. This enables a formulation, sketched in §1.7, of a theory of Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields which is based on geometric construction using S with the only added assumption of such symmetry breaking.

A weak form of this assumption, sufficient for our present purposes, can be expressed as the requirement that the connection G induced on $\mathbb{L}$ has vanishing curvature, that is $\mathrm{d}G = 0$. Hence one can always find local charts such that $G_a = 0$, and this amounts to gauging away the conformal symmetry.

In practice we may wish to simplify certain arguments by making the stronger assumption that the bundle $\mathbb{L} \rightarrowtail M$ be trivial, that is a global product. This means that we actually regard $\mathbb{L}$ just a semi-vector space, the space of length units. In a "natural unit setting", coupling constants now arise as elements in $\mathbb{L}$ (see also footnote 1 on page 2).

1.6 Two-spinor tetrad

Henceforth we assume that M is a real 4-dimensional manifold, and consider a section $\Theta : M \to \mathbb{L} \otimes H \otimes \mathrm{T}^*M$. Note that Θ can be seen as a linear morphism $\mathrm{T}M \to \mathbb{L} \otimes H$, and, if it is non-degenerate, as a 'scaled' *tetrad*. We write its coordinate expression as

$$\Theta = \Theta_a^\lambda\,\tau_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a = \Theta_a^{A\dot{A}}\,\zeta_A \otimes \bar{\zeta}_{\dot{A}} \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a\ ,$$

where the coefficients Θ_a^λ and $\Theta_a^{A\dot{A}}$ are $\mathbb{L}$-valued (namely have the physical dimensions of a *length*).

Given a tetrad, the geometric structure of the fibers of H yields a similar, *scaled* structure on the fibers of $\mathrm{T}M$. Namely if we now denote by $\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ the Lorentz metric, the $\tilde{g}$-normalized volume form and the Dirac map of H, we get similar spacetime objects

$$g \equiv \Theta^*\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}_{\lambda\mu}\,\Theta_a^\lambda\,\Theta_b^\mu\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^b = \varepsilon_{AB}\varepsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}\,\Theta_a^{A\dot{A}}\,\Theta_b^{B\dot{B}}\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^b\ ,$$

$$\eta \equiv \Theta^*\tilde{\eta} = \det\Theta\,\mathrm{d}^4\mathsf{x} \equiv \det\Theta\,\mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^0 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^2 \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^3\ ,$$

$$\gamma \equiv \tilde{\gamma} \circ \Theta = \Theta_a^\lambda\,\gamma_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a \equiv \gamma_a \otimes \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a\ .$$

These relations show that we can regard Θ as a sort of "square root of the metric".

The inverse morphism can be obtained by moving indices via the metric, namely

$$\overleftarrow{\Theta}{}^b_\mu = \Theta^b_\mu \equiv \Theta^\lambda_a \, \tilde{g}_{\lambda\mu} \, g^{ab} \ .$$

Moreover a Pauli frame yields the orthonormal scaled spacetime frame and dual frame

$$\big(\Theta_\lambda\big) \equiv \overleftarrow{\Theta}(\tau_\lambda) = \big(\Theta^a_\lambda \, \partial \mathsf{x}_a\big) \ , \qquad \big(\Theta^\lambda\big) \equiv \Theta^*(\tau^\lambda) = \big(\Theta^\lambda_a \, \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}^a\big) \ .$$

If Γ is a 2-spinor connection then a non-degenerate tetrad $\Theta : \mathrm{T}M \to \mathbb{L} \otimes H$ yields a unique connection Γ on $\mathrm{T}M$, characterized by the condition that Θ be covariantly constant with respect to the couple $(\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma})$. Indeed the condition $\nabla\Theta = 0$ reads

$$\partial_b \Theta^\lambda_a + \Gamma^c_{ba} \, \Theta^\lambda_c - \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{b\,\mu} \, \Theta^\mu_a = 0 \ ,$$

while the components of Γ in the orthonormal frame $\big(\Theta_\lambda\big)$ coincide with the components of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the associated Pauli frame: $\Gamma^\lambda_{a\,\mu} = \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{a\,\mu}$. Moreover Γ is metric, $\nabla[\Gamma] g = 0$. The curvature tensors of Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are similarly related by $R_{ab\,\mu}{}^\lambda = \tilde{R}_{ab\,\mu}{}^\lambda$, that is

$$R_{abd}{}^c = \tilde{R}_{ab\,\mu}{}^\lambda \, \Theta^c_\lambda \, \Theta^\mu_d \ .$$

The Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature can be expressed as

$$R_{ad} = R_{abd}{}^b = \tilde{R}_{ab\,\mu}{}^\lambda \, \Theta^b_\lambda \, \Theta^\mu_d \ , \qquad R_a{}^a = \tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{\lambda\mu} \, \Theta^b_\lambda \, \Theta^a_\mu \ .$$

In general Γ will have non-vanishing torsion, which can be expressed as

$$\Theta^\lambda_c \, T^c{}_{ab} = \partial_{[a} \Theta^\lambda_{b]} + \Theta^\mu_{[a} \, \tilde{\Gamma}_{b]\,\mu}{}^\lambda \ .$$

1.7 Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields

The field theory we are going to sketch, as presented in previous papers [2, 3, 4], is based on "minimal geometric data" in the sense that the unique such datum is a vector bundle $S \rightarrowtail M$, with complex 2-dimensional fibers and real 4-dimensional base manifold. The basic idea is to assume no further background structure: all other bundles and fixed geometric objects are derived from S using only geometrical constructions. Any needed bundle section which is not determined by S is assumed to be a field. A natural Lagrangian can then be written, yielding a field theory which turns out to be essentially equivalent to a classical theory of Einstein-Cartan-Maxwell-Dirac fields. Admittedly, however, there is *one* further assumption that must be made at this level, namely the breaking of dilatonic symmetry as described in §1.5; without that we deal with a more general theory. Accordingly we regard $\mathbb{L}$ as a fixed semi-vector space, whose unique role consists of taking care of physical dimensions in a "natural" unit setting ($\hbar = c = 1$).

The fields are taken to be the tetrad Θ, the 2-spinor connection Γ, the electromagnetic field F and the electron field ψ. The gravitational field is represented both by Θ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$, the latter being regarded as the gravitational part of Γ. If Θ is non-degenerate then one obtains, as in the standard metric-affine approach [23, 15], essentially the Einstein equation and the equation for torsion; the metricity of the spacetime connection is a further consequence. The theory, however, is non-singular also if Θ is degenerate. Also note that in this approach the spacetime metric g and the spacetime connection Γ are not independent fields, but rather

byproducts of the formalism. A particular consequence of this fact is that we cannot just require the torsion to vanish.

The Dirac field $\psi \equiv (u, \chi)$ is a section of the Dirac bundle W with physical dimensions $\mathbb{L}^{-3/2}$, and is assumed to represent a particle with one-half spin, mass $m \in \mathbb{L}^{-1}$ and charge $q \in \mathbb{R}$.

We assign the role of the electromagnetic potential to another sector of $\mathfrak{F}$, namely the induced Hermitian connection Y of $\wedge^2 U$ whose coefficients we denote as $\mathrm{i}Y_a$ (locally one also writes $Y_a \equiv qA_a$, where A is a local 1-form).

The electromagnetic field is represented by a spacetime 2-form F or, equivalently, by a section $\tilde{F} : M \to \mathbb{L}^{-2} \otimes \wedge^2 H^*$ related to it by $F \equiv \Theta^* \tilde{F}$. The relation between Y and F follows as one of the field equations.

The total Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = (\ell_{\text{grav}} + \ell_{\text{em}} + \ell_{\text{Dir}})\, \mathrm{d}^4\mathsf{x}$ is the sum of gravitational, electromagnetic and Dirac terms. These can be written in coordinate-free form, but the coordinate expressions are perhaps more readable without special explanations. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_{\text{grav}} &= \tfrac{1}{8\Bbbk}\, \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\, \varepsilon^{abcd}\, \tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{\lambda\mu}\, \Theta_c^\nu\, \Theta_d^\rho\ , \\
\ell_{\text{em}} &= -\tfrac{1}{4}\, \varepsilon^{abcd}\, \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\, \partial_a Y_b\, \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu}\, \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho + \tfrac{1}{4}\, \tilde{F}^{\lambda\mu} \tilde{F}_{\lambda\mu}\, \det\Theta\ , \\
\ell_{\text{Dir}} &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\, \breve{\Theta}^a_{A\dot{A}} \Big(\nabla_a u^A\, \bar{u}^{\dot{A}} - u^A\, \nabla_a \bar{u}^{\dot{A}} + \varepsilon^{AB} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\dot{A}\dot{B}} (\bar{\chi}_B\, \nabla_a \chi_{\dot{B}} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}_B\, \chi_{\dot{B}}) \Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad - m\, (\bar{\chi}_A u^A + \chi_{\dot{A}}\, \bar{u}^{\dot{A}})\, \det\Theta\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\breve{\Theta}^a_{A\dot{A}} \equiv \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\, \sigma^\lambda{}_{A\dot{A}}\, \breve{\Theta}^a_\lambda \equiv \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\, \sigma^\lambda{}_{A\dot{A}} \big(\tfrac{1}{3!}\, \varepsilon^{abcd}\, \varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\, \Theta_b^\mu \Theta_c^\nu \Theta_d^\rho \big)$$

and $\Bbbk$ stands for Newton's gravitational constant.

The main results obtained by writing down the Euler-Lagrange equations deriving from $\mathcal{L}$ can be summarized as follows.

- The Θ-component corresponds (in the non-degenerate case) to the Einstein equations.
- The $\tilde{\Gamma}$-component gives the equation for torsion. Hence one sees that the spinor field is a source for torsion, and that in this context a possible torsion-free theory is not natural.
- The F-component reads $F = 2\,\mathrm{d}Y$ in the non-degenerate case, and of course this yields the first Maxwell equation $\mathrm{d}F = 0$.
- The Y-component reduces, in the non-degenerate case, to the second Maxwell equation $\frac{1}{2} * \mathrm{d} * F = j$, where the 1-form j is the *Dirac current*.
- The $\bar{u}$- and $\bar{\chi}$-components yield the *Dirac equation* $(\mathrm{i}\,\not{\nabla} - m + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\, \gamma^a T^b{}_{ab})\psi = 0$ for $\psi \equiv (u, \chi)$.
- The u- and χ-components yield the Dirac equation for the Dirac adjoint $\bar{\psi} = (\bar{\chi}, \bar{u})$.

2 Lie derivatives in spinor geometry and tetrad gravity

2.1 Lie derivative of spinors

We start by looking for a natural definition of Lie derivative of sections $w : M \to H$ with respect to a vector field $X : M \to \mathrm{T}M$. We observe that $\overleftarrow{\Theta} w$ is a vector field on M, and so is the Lie bracket $[X, \overleftarrow{\Theta} w] \equiv \mathrm{L}_X(\overleftarrow{\Theta} w)$. Then we obtain the section $\Theta[X, \overleftarrow{\Theta} w] : M \to H$. By a

straightforward calculation this is found to have the coordinate expression

$$(\Theta[X,\overleftarrow{\Theta}w])^\lambda = \nabla_X w^\lambda - \Xi^\lambda{}_\mu\, w^\mu \ ,$$
$$\Xi^\lambda{}_\mu \equiv (\nabla_a X^b + T^b{}_{ca}\, X^c)\,\overleftarrow{\Theta}{}^a_\mu\,\Theta^\lambda_b \ ,$$

where $\nabla_X w$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$ (§1.4).

We now face the following issue: in order to recover $\Theta[X,\overleftarrow{\Theta}w]$ from an operation performed on 2-spinors by means of the Leibnitz rule, $\Xi : M \to \mathrm{End}\, H$ must be valued in $\mathfrak{so}(H,g)\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H$ (§1.3). Since this is not true in general, we consider a modified operation L_X acting on sections $w : M \to H$

$$\mathrm{L}_X w := \nabla_X w - (\mathrm{p}\Xi)w$$

in which Ξ is replaced by its projection onto $\mathfrak{so}(H,g)\oplus\mathbb{R}\mathbb{1}_H$; in term of components, $\Xi^\lambda{}_\mu$ is replaced by

$$\hat{\Xi}^\lambda{}_\mu \equiv (\mathrm{p}\Xi)^\lambda{}_\mu = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Xi^\lambda{}_\mu - (\Xi^\dagger)^\lambda{}_\mu\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\,\Xi^\nu{}_\nu\,\delta^\lambda{}_\mu \ .$$

Then indeed by setting

$$\xi \equiv \pi(\Xi) = \pi(\hat{\Xi}) : M \to \mathrm{End}\, U$$

$$\mathrm{L}_X u = \nabla_X u - \xi(u) \ , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X \bar{u} \equiv \overline{\mathrm{L}_X u} = \nabla_X \bar{u} - \bar{\xi}(\bar{u}) \ ,$$

$$\mathrm{L}_X \chi = \nabla_X \chi + \bar{\xi}^*\chi \ , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X \bar{\chi} \equiv \overline{\mathrm{L}_X \chi} = \nabla_X \bar{\chi} + \xi^*\bar{\chi} \ ,$$

where $u : M \to U$, $\chi : M \to \overline{U}^*$, recalling the definitions and results in §1.3 we easily check that all the natural Leibnitz rules are fulfilled. In particular we find

$$\mathrm{L}_X(u\otimes\bar{u}) = (\mathrm{L}_X u)\otimes\bar{u} + u\otimes\mathrm{L}_X\bar{u} \ , \qquad X.\langle\bar{\chi},u\rangle = \langle \mathrm{L}_X\bar{\chi},u\rangle + \langle\bar{\chi},\mathrm{L}_X u\rangle \ .$$

We have the coordinate expression

$$\mathrm{L}_X u^A = X^a\,(\partial_a u^A - \Gamma_a{}^A{}_B\, u^B) - \xi^A{}_B\, u^B \ , \qquad \xi^A{}_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Xi^{AA'}{}_{BA'} - \tfrac{1}{8}\,\Xi^{CC'}{}_{CC'}\,\delta^A{}_B \ .$$

The Lie derivative of the 4-spinor $\psi \equiv (u,\chi) : M \to W \equiv U\oplus\overline{U}^*$ is then

$$\mathrm{L}_X\psi = \nabla_X\psi - \left(\xi\,, -\bar{\xi}^*\right)\psi = \nabla_X\psi - \varkappa(\pi\Xi) =$$
$$= \nabla_X\psi - \tfrac{1}{8}\,\Xi_{\lambda\mu}\,(\gamma^\lambda\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu\gamma^\lambda)\psi + \tfrac{1}{8}\,\Xi^\nu{}_\nu\,\gamma_5\psi \ .$$

When the torsion vanishes and X is a Killing vector field (then $\Xi^\nu{}_\nu = 0$) one essentially gets the usual Lie derivative of Dirac spinors [29], though a careful reader may notice an opposite sign in the second term. The standard expression can be recovered by exchanging the roles of the bundles U and $\overline{U}^*$, so that the difference can be eventually ascribed to conventions affecting representations of the involved Lie algebras. Similarly one sees that our expression for $\mathrm{L}_X u^A$ is the same as that in Penrose-Rindler [39], §6.6, when the torsion vanishes and X is a conformal Killing vector field.

Remark. The spacetime connection being a necessarily ingredient in the Lie derivative of spinors is a consequence of $\nabla\Theta = 0$. Also note that the possible non-vanishing of the torsion implies that the condition $\Xi = \mathrm{p}\Xi$ is not equivalent to the requirement that X be a conformal Killing vector field.

Remark. The Fermi transport of spinors can be introduced by an analogous construction [5] starting from the Fermi transport of world-vectors.

2.2 Lie derivative of the tetrad

Though the notion of Lie derivative of spinors proposed in §2.1 is well-defined for any vector field x, it is actually independent of the symmetric trace-free part of $\Xi \equiv \nabla x + x \rfloor T$. However that part has not merely disappeared from view, but is related to a natural definition of Lie derivative of the tetrad. This follows from imposing the Leibnitz rule

$$\mathrm{L}_x(\overleftarrow{\Theta} w) = (\mathrm{L}_x \overleftarrow{\Theta}) w + \overleftarrow{\Theta} \mathrm{L}_x w \ , \qquad w : M \to H \ ,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta\big((\mathrm{L}_x \overleftarrow{\Theta}) w\big) &= \Theta\big(\mathrm{L}_x(\overleftarrow{\Theta} w)\big) - \mathrm{L}_x w = \nabla_x w - \Xi(w) - \big(\nabla_x w - \mathrm{p}\Xi(w)\big) = \\ &= \big(\mathrm{p}\Xi - \Xi\big)(w) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Requiring now $\mathrm{L}_x(\Theta \circ \overleftarrow{\Theta}) = 0$ we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta \circ \mathrm{L}_x \overleftarrow{\Theta} = \mathrm{p}\Xi - \Xi \ , \qquad \mathrm{L}_x \Theta \circ \overleftarrow{\Theta} = \Xi - \mathrm{p}\Xi \ , \\ \Rightarrow \quad & \mathrm{L}_x \Theta = (\Xi - \mathrm{p}\Xi) \circ \Theta \ , \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\mathrm{L}_x \Theta^\lambda_a = (\Xi^\lambda{}_\mu - \hat{\Xi}^\lambda{}_\mu)\, \Theta^\mu_a = \tfrac{1}{2}\, (\Xi^\lambda{}_\mu + \Xi_\mu{}^\lambda)\, \Theta^\mu_a - \tfrac{1}{4}\, \Xi^\nu{}_\nu\, \Theta^\lambda_a \ .$$

One may wonder why the above expression does not contain the derivatives of the components of Θ. The answer is that they are actually contained in the torsion, which is contained in Ξ. In fact we can recover our result by a straightforward coordinate calculation from

$$\mathrm{L}_x \Theta^\lambda_a = x^b\, \partial_b \Theta^\lambda_a + \Theta^\lambda_b\, \partial_a x^b - x^b\, \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{b\,\mu}\, \Theta^\mu_a - \hat{\Xi}^\lambda{}_\mu\, \Theta^\mu_a$$

and then using $\partial_b \Theta^\lambda_a = \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{b\,\mu}\, \Theta^\mu_a - \Gamma^c_{ba}\, \Theta^\lambda_c$ which is the coordinate expression of $\nabla \Theta = 0$.

2.3 Lie derivative of the spinor connection

If Γ is an arbitrary linear connection of the tangent bundle of M, then its Lie derivative along a vector field x is the tensor field $\mathrm{L}_x \Gamma : M \to \mathrm{T}^*M \otimes \mathrm{T}M \otimes \mathrm{T}^*M$ characterized by the identity [46]

$$\mathrm{L}_x \Gamma \rfloor z = \nabla \mathrm{L}_x z - \mathrm{L}_x \nabla z$$

holding for any vector field z. Its coordinate expression turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L}_x \Gamma^b_{ac} &= -\nabla_a \nabla_c x^b - \nabla_a (x^d\, T^b{}_{dc}) + x^d R_{adc}{}^b = \\ &= -\nabla_a \Xi^b{}_c + x^d R_{adc}{}^b \ . \end{aligned}$$

This notion can be applied in particular to the Riemannian spacetime connection, and as such it appears in the literature mainly in considerations related to energy tensors [28, 37], possibly in a somewhat disguised form [30, 19].

The Lie derivative of the linear connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $H \rightarrowtail M$ can be obtained by extending that construction. We set

$$\mathrm{L}_x \tilde{\Gamma} : M \to \mathrm{T}^*M \otimes \mathrm{End}\, H : w \mapsto \nabla \mathrm{L}_x w - \mathrm{L}_x \nabla w \ ,$$

where $w : M \to H$ and $\mathrm{L}_X w$ is the operation introduced in §2.1. A calculation then yields

$$\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\mu}^{\ \lambda} = -\nabla_a \hat{\Xi}_{\mu}^{\ \lambda} + X^d \, \tilde{R}_{ad\,\mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} \ .$$

Remark. For an arbitrary vector field X we have $\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\lambda}^{\ \lambda} = -\nabla_a \hat{\Xi}_{\lambda}^{\ \lambda} \neq 0$, so that the "deformed connection" $\tilde{\Gamma} + \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}$ needs not be metric.

Similarly, the Lie derivative $\mathrm{L}_X u^A = \nabla_X u^A - \xi^A{}_B \, u^B$ of spinors $u : M \to U$ yields the Lie derivative of the linear connection F of $U \rightarrowtail M$ as

$$\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F} : M \to \mathrm{T}^* M \otimes \mathrm{End}\, U \ , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}_\rfloor u = \nabla \mathrm{L}_X u - \mathrm{L}_X \nabla u \ .$$

Again, a straightforward calculation yields

$$\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}_{a\ B}^{\ A} = -\nabla_a \xi^A{}_B + X^d \, R_{ad\ B}^{\ \ A} \ .$$

Now, using the relation between Ξ and ξ and the analogous relation (§1.4) between $R[\mathrm{F}]$ and $\tilde{R} \equiv R[\tilde{\Gamma}]$, it's not difficult to check that also

$$\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F} = \pi(\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}) \ , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma} = \imath(\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}) \ .$$

In coordinates, these read

$$\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}_{a\ B}^{\ A} = \tfrac{1}{2} \, \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\ AA'}{}_{BA'} - \tfrac{1}{8} \, \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\ CC'}{}_{CC'} \, \delta^A{}_B \ ,$$
$$\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_a^{\ AA'}{}_{BB'} = \mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}_{a\ B}^{\ A} \, \delta^{A'}{}_{B'} + \delta^A{}_B \, \mathrm{L}_X \bar{\mathrm{F}}_{a\ B'}^{\ A'} \ .$$

We recall (§1.4) that F yields connections of $\overline{U}$, U^* and $\overline{U}^*$. The Lie derivatives of all these are naturally defined by straightforward extensions of the above procedure, and their coordinate expressions are easily checked to be in the same mutual relations. Moreover we get the Lie derivative of the 4-spinor connection, which can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{L}_X(\mathrm{F}, \overset{*}{\bar{\mathrm{F}}})_a = (\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}_a, \mathrm{L}_X \overset{*}{\bar{\mathrm{F}}}_a) = \tfrac{1}{8} \, \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\mu}^{\ \lambda} \, (\gamma_\lambda \gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu \gamma_\lambda) + \tfrac{1}{8} \, \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\lambda}^{\ \lambda} \, \gamma_5 \ ,$$

where $\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}_{a\,\lambda}^{\ \lambda} = -\nabla_a \hat{\Xi}_{\lambda}^{\ \lambda}$.

Our notion of Lie derivative of 2-spinors naturally yields the Lie derivatives of the curvature tensors of F and $\tilde{\Gamma}$. We obtain the coordinate expressions

$$\mathrm{L}_X R_{ab\ B}^{\ \ A} = X^c \, \partial_c R_{ab\ B}^{\ \ A} + \partial_a X^c \, R_{cb\ B}^{\ \ A} + \partial_b X^c \, R_{ac\ B}^{\ \ A} + [R_{ab} \, , X^a \mathrm{F}_a + \xi \,]^A{}_B \ ,$$
$$\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{R}_{ab\,\mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} = X^c \, \partial_c \tilde{R}_{ab\,\mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} + \partial_a X^c \, \tilde{R}_{cb\,\mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} + \partial_b X^c \, \tilde{R}_{ac\,\mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} + [\tilde{R}_{ab} \, , X^a \tilde{\Gamma}_a + \hat{\Xi}]_{\mu}^{\ \lambda} \ ,$$

where $[R_{ab} \, , \xi \,]^A{}_B \equiv R_{ab\ C}^{\ \ A} \, \xi^C{}_B - \xi^A{}_C \, R_{ab\ B}^{\ \ C}$ and the like (brackets denote commutators of fiber endomorphisms).

Then it is not difficult to check that the algebraic relation between these two objects is essentially the same as the relation between F and $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Moreover let us regard $\mathrm{F}' \equiv \mathrm{F} + \mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F}$ as a "deformed" spinor connection; then its curvature tensor turns out to be $R' = R + \mathrm{L}_X R$ up to terms which are of second order in the Lie derivatives. A similar statement holds true for the curvature of the deformed connection $\tilde{\Gamma}' \equiv \tilde{\Gamma} + \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}$.

Remark. For the reader who is familiar with the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket of tangent-valued forms [17, 33, 34], we can recast above results in a convenient way. We first observe that if $E \rightarrowtail M$ is any vector bundle then an $\mathrm{End}E$-valued r-form $M \to \wedge^r \mathrm{T}^*M \otimes \mathrm{End}E$ can be regarded as a vertical-valued form on E. A linear connection can also be regarded as a tangent-valued 1-form, and its curvature tensor as a vertical-valued 2-form. Moreover a vector field on E is a tangent-valued 0-form. In particular, both ξ and

$$X \rfloor \mathrm{F} = X^a \, \partial \!\!\! \times_a + X^a \, \mathrm{F}_a{}^A{}_B \, \zeta^B \, \zeta_A$$

are vector fields on U. Indeed, the latter is the *horizontal prolongation* of X through the connection F. Similarly, $\hat{\Xi} \equiv \mathrm{p}\Xi$ and $X \rfloor \tilde{\Gamma}$ are vector fields on H. A computation then yields

$$\mathrm{L}_X \mathrm{F} = [\![\, X \rfloor \mathrm{F} + \xi \, , \, \mathrm{F} \,]\!] \, , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X R = [\![\, X \rfloor \mathrm{F} + \xi \, , \, R \,]\!] \, ,$$

$$\mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma} = [\![\, X \rfloor \tilde{\Gamma} + \hat{\Xi} \, , \, \tilde{\Gamma} \,]\!] \, , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{R} = [\![\, X \rfloor \tilde{\Gamma} + \hat{\Xi} \, , \, \tilde{R} \,]\!] \, .$$

Furthermore Θ can be regarded as a vertical valued 1-form on H, while a 2-spinor $u : M \to U$ can be regarded as a section $U \to \mathrm{V}U$. Then we also find

$$\mathrm{L}_X u = [\![\, X \rfloor \mathrm{F} + \xi \, , \, u \,]\!] \, , \qquad \mathrm{L}_X \Theta = [\![\, X \rfloor \tilde{\Gamma} + \hat{\Xi} \, , \, \Theta \,]\!] \, .$$

2.4 Deformed tetrad gravity

Consider arbitrarily deformed objects $\Gamma' \equiv \Gamma + \Delta\Gamma$, $\tilde{\Gamma}' \equiv \tilde{\Gamma} + \Delta\tilde{\Gamma}$, $\Theta' \equiv \Theta + \Delta\Theta$. Then up to second-order terms in the deformations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'_c \Theta'^{\lambda}_{\ a} &= \partial_c \Theta'^{\lambda}_{\ a} + \Gamma'^{\ b}_{c\, a} \, \Theta'^{\lambda}_{\ b} - \tilde{\Gamma}'^{\ \lambda}_{c\, \mu} \, \Theta'^{\mu}_{\ a} = \\ &= \partial_c (\Theta^{\lambda}_a + \Delta\Theta^{\lambda}_a) + (\Gamma^b_{ca} + \Delta\Gamma^b_{ca}) \, (\Theta^{\lambda}_b + \Delta\Theta^{\lambda}_b) - (\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{c\, \mu} + \Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{c\, \mu}) \, (\Theta^{\mu}_a + \Delta\Theta^{\mu}_a) = \\ &= \nabla_c \Theta^{\lambda}_a + \nabla_c (\Delta\Theta)^{\lambda}_a + \Delta\Gamma^b_{ca} \, \Theta^{\lambda}_b - \Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{c\, \mu} \, \Theta^{\mu}_a + o(\Delta) \, . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla\Theta = 0$, the above relation can be written, dropping second-order terms in the deformations, as

$$\nabla'_c \Theta'^{\lambda}_{\ a} = \nabla_c (\Delta\Theta)^{\lambda}_a + \Delta\Gamma^b_{ca} \, \Theta^{\lambda}_b - \Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{c\, \mu} \, \Theta^{\mu}_a \, .$$

Now we consider the special case when the deformations are Lie derivatives along a vector field $X : M \to \mathrm{T}M$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Gamma^a_{c\, b} &\equiv \mathrm{L}_X \Gamma^a_{c\, b} = -\nabla_c \Xi^b_{\ a} + X^d \, R_{cd\, a}^{\ \ \ b} \, , \\ \Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{c\, \mu} &\equiv \mathrm{L}_X \tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{c\, \mu} = -\nabla_c \hat{\Xi}^{\lambda}_{\ \mu} + X^d \, R_{cd\, \mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} \, , \\ \Delta\Theta^{\lambda}_a &\equiv \mathrm{L}_X \Theta^{\lambda}_a = \Theta^{\mu}_a \, (\Xi - \hat{\Xi})^{\lambda}_{\ \mu} \, . \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'_c \Theta'^{\lambda}_{\ a} &= \nabla_c (\Xi - \hat{\Xi})^{\lambda}_{\ \mu} \, \Theta^{\mu}_a + (X^d \, R_{cd\, a}^{\ \ \ b} - \nabla_c \Xi^b_{\ a}) \, \Theta^{\lambda}_b + (\nabla_c \hat{\Xi}^{\lambda}_{\ \mu} - X^d \, R_{cd\, \mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda}) \, \Theta^{\mu}_a = \\ &= X^d \, (R_{cd\, a}^{\ \ \ b} \, \Theta^{\lambda}_b - X^d \, R_{cd\, \mu}^{\ \ \ \lambda} \, \Theta^{\mu}_a) = 0 \, , \end{aligned}$$

so that the deformed tetrad Θ' is covariantly constant with respect to the deformed connections Γ' and $\tilde{\Gamma}'$.

In the gravitational field theory formulation sketched in §1.7 the gravitational field is represented by the couple $(\Theta, \text{Ŀ})$ while the spacetime connection Γ is a byproduct, characterized by the condition $\nabla\Theta = 0$. Hence the above result can be interpreted as saying that a deformed couple $(\Theta', \text{Ŀ}')$ yields the deformed spacetime connection $\Gamma' \equiv \Gamma + \mathrm{L}_X\Gamma$, where the deformation is the Lie derivative of Γ in the usual sense.

2.5 A remark on possible extensions

The various connections induced by a 2-spinor connection Ŀ on the bundles constructed from S can be regarded as "pieces" into which Ŀ can be naturally decomposed. In particular, the imaginary part $\mathrm{i}Y$ of $\hat{\text{Ŀ}}$ is the induced *Hermitian connection* of $\wedge^2 U$.

We note that Y_a does not enter $\hat{\Xi}^{\lambda}_{\ \mu}$ nor $\xi^{A}_{\ B}$, hence its contribution to the Lie derivatives of spinors, and the other related Lie derivatives, is limited to the covariant derivative ∇_X. We may say that the internal geometry of $\wedge^2 U$ is not soldered to spacetime geometry. An analogous result was found [5] in the construction of the Fermi transport of spinors along a timelike line. Actually Y is related to the electromagnetic potential and, in pure electrodynamics, can be just interpreted as such.

Adding further internal degrees of freedom means considering new vector bundles, say $F \rightarrowtail M$, whose fibers are not soldered to spacetime geometry, and taking tensor products such as $U \otimes F$. In general, in such enlarged setting, one has no well-defined notion of Lie derivatives of matter fields and gauge fields with respect to vector fields on the base manifold M. On the other hand, the notion of Lie derivative with respect to a vector field on the total manifold is well-defined, and an important tool in Lagrangian field theory — with particular regard to symmetries.

References

[1] O. Antipin, M. Mojaza and F. Sannino: 'Natural Conformal Extensions of the Standard Model', Phys. Rev. D **89**, 085015 (2014); arXiv:1310.0957v3.

[2] D. Canarutto: 'Possibly degenerate tetrad gravity and Maxwell-Dirac fields', J. Math. Phys. **39**, N.9 (1998), 4814–4823.

[3] D. Canarutto: 'Two-spinors, field theories and geometric optics in curved spacetime', Acta Appl. Math. **62** N.2 (2000), 187–224.

[4] D. Canarutto: '"Minimal geometric data" approach to Dirac algebra, spinor groups and field theories', Int. J. Geom. Met. Mod. Phys., **4** N.6, (2007), 1005–1040. arXiv:math-ph/0703003.

[5] D. Canarutto: 'Fermi transport of spinors and free QED states in curved spacetime', Int. J. Geom. Met. Mod. Phys., **6** N.5 (2009), 805–824; arXiv:0812.0651v1 [math-ph].

[6] D. Canarutto: 'Tetrad gravity, electroweak geometry and conformal symmetry', Int. J. Geom. Met. Mod. Phys., **8** N.4 (2011), 797–819; arXiv:1009.2255v1 [math-ph].

[7] D. Canarutto: Positive spaces, generalized semi-densities and quantum interactions. J. Math. Phys. **53** (3), 032302 (2012); http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3695348 (24 pages).

[8] D. Canarutto: 'Two-spinor geometry and gauge freedom.', Int. J. Geom. Met. Mod. Phys., **11** (2014), DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219887814600160; arXiv:1404.5054 [math-ph].

[9] D. Canarutto: 'Natural extensions of electroweak geometry and Higgs interactions', Ann. H. Poincaré **16** N.11 (2015), 2695–2711; arXiv:1407.4312 [math-ph]

[10] É. Cartan: 'Sur une généralisation de la notion de courbure de Riemann et les espaces á torsion', C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **174** (1922), 593–595.

[11] É. Cartan: 'Sur les variétés á connexion affine et la théorie de la relativité généralisée', Part I: Ann. Éc. Norm. **40** (1923), 325–412 and ibid. **41** (1924), 1–25; Part II: ibid. **42** (1925), 1788 (1925).

[12] C. Corianò, L. Delle Rose, A. Quintavalle, M. Serino: 'Dilaton interactions and the anomalous breaking of scale invariance of the Standard Model', Journal of High Energy Physics, 2013:77; arXiv:1206.0590 [hep-ph].

[13] L. D. Faddeev: 'An alternative interpretation of the Weinberg-Salam model', in *Progress in High Energy Physics and Nuclear Safety*, V. Begun, L. Jenkovszky and A Polański Editors, NATO Science for Peace and Security Series B: Physics and Biophysics, Springer (2009); arXiv:hep-th/0811.3311v2.

[14] L. Fatibene, M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and M. Godina: 'A geometric definition of Lie derivative for spinor fields', Proceedings of the conference "Differential Geometry and Applications", Masaryk University, Brno (1996), 549–557.

[15] M. Ferraris and J. Kijowski: 'Unified Geometric Theory of Electromagnetic and Gravitational Interactions', Gen. Rel. Grav. **14**, 1 (1982), 37–47.

[16] R. Foot, A. Kobakhidze and K.L. McDonald: 'Dilaton as the Higgs boson', Eur. Phys. J. C **68** (2010), 421–424; arXiv:0812.1604v2.

[17] A. Frölicher and A. Nijenhuis: 'Theory of vector valued differential forms, I', Indag. Math. **18** (1956), 338–360.

[18] M. Godina and P. Matteucci: 'The Lie derivative of spinor fields: theory and applications', Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **2** (2005), 159-188; arXiv:math/0504366 [math.DG]

[19] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis: *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1973).

[20] W. Hehl: 'Spin and torsion in General Relativity: I. Foundations', Gen. Rel. Grav. **4** (1973), 333–349.

[21] W. Hehl: 'Spin and torsion in General Relativity: II. Geometry and field equations', Gen. Rel. Grav. **5** (1974), 491–516.

[22] F.W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester: 'General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects', Reviews of Modern Physics **48** n.3 (1976), 393-416.

[23] F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke, and Y. Ne'eman: 'Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilaton invariance', Phys. Rep. **258** (1995), 1–171.

[24] A. D. Helfer: 'Spinor Lie derivatives and Fermion stress-energies', Proc. R. Soc. A (to appear); arXiv:1602.00632 [hep-th].

[25] M. Henneaux: 'On geometrodynamics with tetrad fields', Gen. Relat. Grav. **9** N.11 (1978), 1031–1045.

[26] A. Ilderton, M. Lavelle and D. McMullan: 'Symmetry breaking, conformal geometry and gauge invariance'. Phys. Lett. B **347** (1995), 89; arXiv:hep-th/9412145v1.

[27] J. Janyška, M. Modugno and R. Vitolo: 'An algebraic approach to physical scales', Acta Appl. Math. **110** N.3 (2010), 1249–1276; arXiv:0710.1313v1.

[28] J. Kijowski: 'A simple derivation of canonical structure and quasi-local Hamiltonians in General Relativity', Gen. Relat. Grav. **29** (1997), 307–343.

[29] Y. Kosmann: Dérivées de Lie des spineurs', Annali di Matematica **91** (1971), 37–395.

[30] L. Landau, E. Lifchitz: *Théorie du champ*, Editions Mir, Moscou (1968).

[31] M. Lavelle and D. McMullan: 'Observables and Gauge Fixing in Spontaneously Broken Gauge Theories', Phys. Lett. B **347** (1995), 89. arXiv:9412145v1.

[32] R. F. Leão, W. A. Rodrigues Jr. and S. A. Wainer: 'Concept of Lie Derivative of Spinor Fields. A Geometric Motivated Approach', Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras (2015), DOI 10.1007/s00006-015-0560-y; arXiv:1411.7845 [math-ph].

[33] L. Mangiarotti and M. Modugno: 'Fibered spaces, jet spaces and connections for field theory', in *Proc. Int. Meeting on Geom. and Phys.*, 135–165, Pitagora Ed., Bologna 1983.

[34] P. W. Michor: *'Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket'*, in Hazewinkel, Michiel, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematics*, Springer (2001).

[35] M. Novello and E. Bittencourt: 'What is the origin of the mass of the Higgs boson?' Phys. Rev. D **86**, 063510 (2012); arXiv:1209.4871v1.

[36] H. C. Ohanian: 'Weyl gauge-vector and complex dilaton scalar for conformal symmetry and its breaking', General Relativity and Gravitation (2016); arXiv:1502.00020 [gr-qc].

[37] T. Padamnabhan: 'General Relativity from a thermodynamic perspective', Gen. Rel. Grav. **46**, 1673 (2014), DOI: 10.1007/s10714-014-1673-7; arXiv:1312.3253 [gr-qc].

[38] R. Penrose and W. Rindler: *Spinors and space-time. I: Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1984).

[39] R. Penrose and W. Rindler: *Spinors and space-time. II: Spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1988).

[40] V. N. Pervushin, A. B. Arbuzov, B. M. Barbashov, R. G. Nazmitdinov, A. Borowiec, K. N. Pichugin, A. F. Zakharov: 'Conformal and Affine Hamiltonian Dynamics of General Relativity', General Relativity and Gravitation, **44** N.11 (2012), 2745–2783.

[41] J. M. Pons: 'Noether symmetries, energy-momentum tensors and conformal invariance in classical field theory', J. Math. Phys. **52**, 012904 (2011); http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3532941.

[42] N.J. Popławsky: 'Geometrization of electromagnetism in tetrad-spin-connection gravity', Modern Physics Letters A **24** N.6 (2009), 431–442. DOI: 10.1142/S0217732309030151

[43] M. G. Ryskin and A. G. Shuvaev: 'Higgs Boson as a Dilaton', Phys. Atom. Nucl. **73** (2010), 965–970; arXiv:0909.3374v1.

[44] D. W. Sciama: 'On a non-symmetric theory of the pure gravitational field', Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **54** (1958) 72–80.

[45] A. Trautman: 'Einstein-Cartan theory', in *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 2, edited by J.-P. Françoise, G.L. Naber and Tsou S.T., Elsevier, Oxford (2006), 189–195.

[46] K. Yano: *Lie derivatives and its applications*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1955).